- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset
 - Tuning the Snort ruleset has the greatest impact on Snort's performance and the number of false positives.
 - If we can apply the knowledge of our network infrastructure and IDS policy to the ruleset, we can achieve a high performance of the IDS operation.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Snort rules are made up of two components:
 - the Rule Header and
 - the Rule Option.
 - The Rule Header defines the type of alert and which protocols, IP addresses and IP protocol ports are to be monitored for the signature.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The Rule Header can be described as metadata that lets Snort know where to apply the signature.
 - The Rule Header is essentially everything that comes before the first parentheses.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The Rule Option is the actual signature and assigned priority of the attack.
 - The Rule Option also contains links to external documentation resources on the Internet.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Example:
 - The Rule Header:
 - » alert tcp \$EXTERNAL_NET any->\$HOME_NET 22
 - The Rule Option:
 - » (msg:"EXPLOIT ssh CRC32 overflow /bin/sh"; flow: to-server, established; content: "/bin/sh";)

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The Rule Headers and Rule Options are mapped into an internal data structure when the ruleset is loaded into memory.
 - The Rule Header is mapped to an internal data structure within Snort known as a Rule Tree Node (RTN).
 - The RTNs are linked together into one dimension on a three-dimensional linked list.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Each protocol (TCP, UDP and so on) has its own linked list made up of the corresponding RTNs.
 - The second dimension is mapped from the Rule Option in the form of an *Option Tree Node* (OTN).

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The third dimension is a group of function pointers that determine which options should be applied to a packet to be inspected.
 - This linked list of RTNs, OTNs, and function pointers is essentially the data structure that the detection engine uses.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - When the detection engine processes a packet, it first checks to determine what protocol the packet uses.
 - After the protocol is determined, the packet is sent to the corresponding linked list.
 - The packet is then checked against each RTN until a match is found.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - After a match is found, the packet is passed by the OTNs.
 - OTNs that utilize Boolean or mathematical operators are executed in a short time with little overhead.
 - OTNs that are composed of only these types of tests are not computationally expensive and execute quickly.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Example:
 - The IP reserved bit rule:
 - » alert ip \$EXTERNAL_NET any -> \$HOME_NET any (fragbits: R;)
 - This rule checks to see only whether a packet has the Reserved Bit set, which would indicate suspicious traffic.
 - This rule does not check any contents, so its execution is fast.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - OTNs that utilize any of the content checking options (*uricontent*, *content-list*, *content*) are much more computationally expensive and require more resources than OTNs that do not.
 - Content options are expensive because they force Snort to make use of the pattern matching engine, which is resource intensive.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - When a packet matches an OTN, an alert is generated and passed to the output stage.
 - If the packet does not match an OTN, it is flushed from memory.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Thus, if it is necessary to reduce the load on Snort, the rules that utilize content checks should be examined.
 - Those rules that are unnecessary should be removed.
 - Unfortunately, about 70 % of the Snort rules make use of one of the three content options (uricontent, content-list, content).

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - If Snort is dropping packets, the rules should be prioritized into categories to identify content rules that are not critical for the protected environment.
 - One should begin by removing rules that alert to non-malicious behavior, such as inappropriate Internet activity.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Sometimes it is also necessary to inspect the .rules files for content rules that alert to less serious activity.
 - These rules should be disabled only if it is absolutely necessary (for example, to prevent packet loss).

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The rules should be organized in a logical, efficient manner.
 - The goal of organizing is to have rules that utilize content options execute last.
 - The packets should be inspected against rules that are not resource intensive first, with the hope that they will trigger on an OTN before reaching the computationally expensive content options.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - When Snort is tuned properly for the network and is no longer dropping packets, the next activity is to reduce false positives.
 - It is possible to reduce some false positives by configuring network variables and preprocessors.
 - A popular way to remove false positives is to create so called pass rule.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - A pass rule is one of the possible rule categories, such as alert and log.
 - It is the inverse of an alert rule; a pass rule tells Snort to ignore any packets that match the pass rule.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - It is possible to use a pass rule to ignore certain types of traffic from specific hosts.
 - For example, it is possible to ignore SSH traffic sent from a single server with a pass rule.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Example:
 - If we are getting a number of false positives from a single host, we could write a pass rule to ignore all traffic from that host.
 - The following pass rule tells Snort to ignore all TCP traffic from a host located at 192.168.1.1:
 - » pass tcp 192.168.1.1 any -> any any;

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - It is possible to get more granular if necessary.
 - Example:
 - If we want to ignore traffic from the same host destined for Telnet servers:
 - » pass tcp 192.168.1.1 any -> any 23;
 - It is also possible to append content options to pass rules.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - If the traffic matches the rule header and the content option, it will be ignored.
 - If the same host were to constantly issue false positives relating to unauthorized Telnet login attempts, we could add the following content rule:
 - pass tcp any 23 -> 192.168.1.1 any (content: "Login failed"; nocase; flow: from-server, established;)

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Pass rules can be used in many different situations to eliminate repetitive false positive offenders.
 - However, the order in which Snort processes rules must be changed.
 - By default, Snort processes alert rules, then pass rules, and finally log rules.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - If we create a pass rule that matches an alert rule, packets that match both the alert and pass rule will still be logged as an alert to the output plugin.
 - This processing order holds to avoid false negatives. It protects the system from accidentally creating a bad pass rule that would inadvertently cause Snort to ignore traffic that it should not.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Example:
 - Suppose that in the previous pass rule example, we had forgotten to specify the IP address of the host we wanted to ignore.
 - Then we could accidentally ignore all TCP traffic.
 - This rule would do exactly that:
 - » pass tcp any any-> any any;

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The order in which Snort processes alerts is changed in such a way that pass rules are processed first.
 - In that case the alert order would be pass, alert, log.
 - We can do so by running Snort with the —o command line option.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - It is possible to develop a targeted ruleset that will alert only on services and hosts that actually exist in the protected network.
 - This can reduce the ruleset's size considerably.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - With a targeted ruleset, it is less likely to discover attempted attacks.
 - The attacker would have to attempt to attack a legitimate service on a legitimate host to be noticed by Snort.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - The targeted ruleset is also useful in cutting down false positives.
 - If we are monitoring a network that generates a lot of false positives, a targeted ruleset will greatly reduce the amount of false positives we receive.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - For small networks, the targeted ruleset can be generated manually, by first performing a portscanning and then disabling the rules targeted at inactive ports.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - For large networks for which we would like to build a targeted ruleset, we can make use of a tool, snortrules.
 - Snortrules takes the output from an NMAP scan and edits a Snort rules file.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - It takes action on rules that do not match a particular service.
 - Snortrules can either remove rules or flag them as not applicable.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Limitations with the targeted ruleset implementation:
 - Network configurations are rarely static for any lengthy period.
 - If we compile the list of available services one day, the network could change on the next day, making the targeted list out of date.
 - If we decide to use the targeted ruleset method for the sensor, we should adopt a regular schedule to update the list as appropriate.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Limitations with the targeted ruleset implementation (cont.):
 - Another, more dangerous possibility is that an attacker would manage to utilize a port or host we are not monitoring in some phase of an attack.
 - This is possible if the port was not open at the time of scanning, but was subsequently opened by the attacker.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Limitations with the targeted ruleset implementation (cont.):
 - If the attacker were able to install a Trojan, either by tempting an unsuspecting person to open an e-mail attachment, or by sitting at the console and installing it, we would not be able to detect the intrusion.
 - The attacker would be able to carry out any sort of remote control tasks on the compromised host without our knowledge.

- Fine tuning SNORT after the installation (cont.)
 - Refining the ruleset (cont.)
 - Some other elements of the SNORT system could also be tuned for a better performance:
 - The database (MySQL, etc.)
 - ACID
 - Caching system
 - Etc.

- SNORT custom rules
 - The goal in creating effective signatures is to write rules that match exclusively the network traffic we want to discover.
 - Unfortunately, this goal is almost impossible to attain; each rule is likely to trigger on other traffic too.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - When writing a rule, one should make a best effort to narrow down the rule to trigger on only the isolated traffic patterns of which one wants to be alerted.
 - One should also take care not to add too many traffic properties, which would cause some attacks to not match the rule.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - To write rules that will trigger only on the traffic we intend them to, we must research and discover properties of the traffic that are unique.
 - The individual properties of the traffic need not be unique themselves, but the combination of them should be.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting
 - Cross-site scripting (XSS) occurs when a Web site allows malicious script to be inserted into a dynamically created Web page.
 - If user input is not properly checked, the attacker can embed script that will force the Web application to act in an unintended manner.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - XSS attacks can be used to steal cookies used for authentication, access portions of the Web site that are restricted, and otherwise attack Web applications.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - The majority of XSS attacks require scripting tags inserted into a particular page request.
 - We can use this feature of XSS attacks to write a rule.
 - Tags such as <SCRIPT>, <OBJECT>, <APPLET>, and <EMBED> are required to insert an XSS script into a Web application.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - We can now create a rule that should trigger when the <SCRIPT> tag is discovered.
 - First we create a rule to trigger on traffic with "<SCRIPT>" content:
 - alert tcp any any -> any any (content: "<SCRIPT>'; msg: "WEB-MISC XSS attempt";)

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - This rule triggers on XSS attacks, but unfortunately also triggers on many other types of benign traffic.
 - If someone were to send an email with embedded JavaScript, the alert would be triggered, causing a false positive.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - To prevent this type of false alarms from happening, we need to change the rule to trigger only on Web trafic:
 - alert tcp \$EXTERNAL_NET any -> \$HTTP_SERVERS \$HTTP_PORTS (content: "<SCRIPT>" msg: "WEB-MISC XSS attempt";)

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - This rule triggers only when the <SCRIPT> content is detected in relation to an HTTP session from a Web server.
 - It triggers when the traffic originates at an external IP address (\$EXTERNAL_NET), and is sent to our Web servers (\$HTTP_SERVERS) on the ports on which an HTTP service runs (\$HTTP_PORTS).

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - However, after loading this rule, a large number of false positives are generated whenever a page is requested that contains JavaScript.
 - We need to further refine the rule and discover properties of XSS traffic that are unique.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - XSS occurs when the client embeds the <SCRIPT> tag in a request.
 - If the server sends the <SCRIPT> tag in response to a request, it is probably benign traffic (JavaScript).

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - We can use this property of an XSS attack to further refine the rule:
 - alert tcp \$EXTERNAL_NET any -> \$HTTP_SERVERS \$HTTP_PORTS (msg: "WEB-MISC XSS attempt"; flow: to_server, established; content: "<SCRIPT>";)
 - This revised rule makes use of the flow option, which uses Snort's TCP reassembly features to identify the direction of traffic flow.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - The flow options specified, to_server and established, apply the rule only to sessions that originate at the client and are sent to the server.
 - This is where an XSS attack will occur: Traffic flowing in the opposite direction is likely to be a normal HTTP session containing JavaScript tags.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - We also need to make sure an attacker cannot evade the rule by taking advantage of case sensitivity.
 - The content option is case-sensitive, whereas HTML is not, so an attacker could evade this rule by changing the script tag to be <ScRipt> or <script>.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - To remedy this, we make the content option not case-sensitive:
 - alert tcp \$EXTERNAL_NET any -> \$HTTP_SERVERS \$HTTP_PORTS (msg: "WEB-MISC XSS attempt"; flow: to_server, established; content: "<SCRIPT>"; nocase;)

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Example cross-site scripting (cont.)
 - Finally, we assign the rule a high priority:
 - alert tcp \$EXTERNAL_NET any -> \$HTTP_SERVERS \$HTTP_PORTS (msq: "WEB-MISC XSS attempt"; flow: to_server, established; content: "<SCRIPT>"; nocase; priority: 1;)

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Snort rules have a basic syntax that must be adhered to for the rule to properly match a traffic signature.
 - Violating the Snort rules syntax can cause a rule to not load into the detection engine.
 - Even if such a rule does manage to load, incorrect rule syntax may result in unpredictable and unintended consequences.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - The rule could trigger on a large amount of benign traffic, causing a lot of false positives.
 - This could potentially overload the intrusion database.
 - The rule could trigger on randomly occurring traffic patterns, which have the potential to cause unnecessary panic when an alert is generated.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Some rules load, but never trigger on the traffic they are designed to detect.
 - The IDS operator may assume the rule is functioning correctly and miss out on the alert.
 - The same scenario can occur in the case of a pass rule, where a poorly written rule can cause a significant amount of potentially malicious traffic to be ignored.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - It is therefore important to make sure the custom rules are written in the correct syntax.
 - It is a good practice to check rules over and test them before implementing the rules in a production situation.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - The most basic syntactical requirement of a Snort rule is that it be in a single line.
 - If we must separate the rule into more than one line, we must append a backslash to the end of the line to let Snort know to continue on the next line.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - The syntax of the rule header is:
 - Rule_action protocol source_address_range source_port_range direction_operator destination_address_range destination_port_range
 - The rule action, protocol, and direction operator are normally chosen from a static list of possible values.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - Snort dictates these statically because the rule can trigger only a limited number of possible actions, and Snort can monitor for only a limited number of protocols.
 - The remaining parameters can be assigned to a variable (such as \$HOME_NET), an IP address or port, or a range of IP addresses and ports.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - The rule option is the actual signature and the assigned priority.
 - The signature portion of the rule option is represented with one or more option keywords.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - These option keywords are used to build the traffic signature for which one would like the detection engine to monitor.
 - When more than one option keyword that relates to a signature is used, they can be considered to form a logical AND statement.

- SNORT custom rules (cont.)
 - There are essentially three methods to write Snort rules:
 - To modify or add to an existing rule, in order to tune Snort and make it more efficient - easiest.
 - To create a new rule by using the knowledge of our network - relatively easy because no extensive traffic analysis is required.
 - To create a new rule by examining network traffic the most difficult.